

CM-110

|  |  |
|--|--|
| ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):<br><b>Alisa E. Sandoval (SBN 206426)</b><br><b>Kelly G. Richardson (SBN 206426)</b><br><b>Richardson Ober, P.C.</b><br><b>234 East Colorado Boulevard, 8th Floor</b><br><b>Pasadena, California 91101</b><br>TELEPHONE NO.: <b>626-449-5577</b> FAX NO. (Optional): <b>626-449-5572</b><br>E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): <b>Alisa@richardsonober.com</b><br>ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>Chaney Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Design</b> | <b>FOR COURT USE ONLY</b><br><br><div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold; margin-bottom: 10px;">FILED</div> Superior Court of California<br>County of Los Angeles<br><br><div style="font-size: 1.2em; margin-bottom: 10px;">JAN 08 2018</div> Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk<br>By <u><i>Ricardo Perez</i></u> Deputy<br>Ricardo Perez |
| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles<br>STREET ADDRESS: <b>111 North Hill Street</b><br>MAILING ADDRESS:<br>CITY AND ZIP CODE: <b>Los Angeles, CA 90012</b><br>BRANCH NAME: <b>Central District, Stanley Mosk Courthous</b>   | CASE NUMBER:<br><b>BC669741</b>  |
| PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: <b>David Glazer</b><br><br>DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: <b>Chaney Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K;</b><br><b>Cheney Shapiro Designs; Chaney Adrienn</b>  |  |
| <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <b>CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT</b><br/>           (Check one): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>UNLIMITED CASE</b><br/>           (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)         </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>LIMITED CASE</b><br/>           (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)         </div> </div>   |  |
| A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is scheduled as follows:<br>Date: <b>January 22, 2018</b> Time: <b>8:30 a.m.</b> Dept.: <b>17</b> Div.: Room:<br>Address of court (if different from the address above):<br><br><input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Intent to Appear by Telephone, by (name):  |  |

**INSTRUCTIONS:** All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified information must be provided.

1. **Party or parties (answer one):**
  - a. ☒ This statement is submitted by party (name): **Defendant, Chaney Shapiro**
  - b. ☐ This statement is submitted jointly by parties (names):
2. **Complaint and cross-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)**
  - a. The complaint was filed on (date):
  - b. ☐ The cross-complaint, if any, was filed on (date):
3. **Service (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)**
  - a. ☐ All parties named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served, have appeared, or have been dismissed.
  - b. ☐ The following parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint
    - (1) ☐ have not been served (specify names and explain why not):
    - (2) ☐ have been served but have not appeared and have not been dismissed (specify names):
    - (3) ☐ have had a default entered against them (specify names):
  - c. ☐ The following additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of involvement in case, and date by which they may be served):
4. **Description of case**
  - a. Type of case in ☒ complaint ☐ cross-complaint (Describe, including causes of action):  
**Complaint for Negligence, Negligent Misrepresentation; Fraud; Failure to Disclose Under CCP 1102; Breach of Contract; Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; Breach of Fiduciary Duty; Negligence Per Se; Breach of Implied Warranty**

|  |                     |
|--|---------------------|
| PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: David Glazer   | CASE NUMBER: CM-110 |
| DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Chaney Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K;<br>Cheney Shapiro Designs | BC669741            |

4. b. Provide a brief statement of the case, including any damages. (If personal injury damages are sought, specify the injury and damages claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and amount], estimated future medical expenses, lost earnings to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sought, describe the nature of the relief.)

This action arises a result of purchase/sale transaction of real property, wherein Plaintiff was the buyer, the Shapiro entities were the owners/sellers and Resourceful Developments/Richard Judson, was the contractor of the pre-sale remodel/renovation. The complaint alleges that remodel/renovation was an attempt to cover up adverse conditions. The Shapiro entities, and Resourceful Developments/Richard Judson, dispute those allegations.

☐ (If more space is needed, check this box and attach a page designated as Attachment 4b.)

5. Jury or nonjury trial

The party or parties request ☒ a jury trial ☐ a nonjury trial. (If more than one party, provide the name of each party requesting a jury trial):

6. Trial date

a. ☐ The trial has been set for (date):

b. ☒ No trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 months of the date of the filing of the complaint (if not, explain):

c. Dates on which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial (specify dates and explain reasons for unavailability):

7. Estimated length of trial

The party or parties estimate that the trial will take (check one):

a. ☒ days (specify number): 7 days

b. ☐ hours (short causes) (specify):

8. Trial representation (to be answered for each party)

The party or parties will be represented at trial ☒ by the attorney or party listed in the caption ☐ by the following:

a. Attorney:

b. Firm:

c. Address:

d. Telephone number:

e. E-mail address:

f. Fax number:

g. Party represented:

☐ Additional representation is described in Attachment 8.

9. Preference

☐ This case is entitled to preference (specify code section):

10. Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)

a. ADR information package. Please note that different ADR processes are available in different courts and communities; read the ADR information package provided by the court under rule 3.221 for information about the processes available through the court and community programs in this case.

(1) For parties represented by counsel: Counsel ☒ has ☐ has not provided the ADR information package identified in rule 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.

(2) For self-represented parties: Party ☐ has ☐ has not reviewed the ADR information package identified in rule 3.221.

b. Referral to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available).

(1) ☐ This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of Civil Procedure section 1141.11 or to civil action mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the amount in controversy does not exceed the statutory limit.

(2) ☐ Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to limit recovery to the amount specified in Code of Civil Procedure section 1141.11.

(3) ☐ This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the California Rules of Court or from civil action mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775 et seq. (specify exemption):

CM-110

|  |              |
|--|--------------|
| PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: David Glazer   | CASE NUMBER: |
| DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Chaney Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K;<br>Cheney Shapiro Designs; Chaney Adrienn | BC669741     |

10. c. Indicate the ADR process or processes that the party or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or have already participated in (check all that apply and provide the specified information):

|                                     | The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (check all that apply): | If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation):   |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| (1) Mediation                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mediation session not yet scheduled<br><input type="checkbox"/> Mediation session scheduled for (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete mediation by (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> Mediation completed on (date):                      |
| (2) Settlement conference           | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> Settlement conference not yet scheduled<br><input type="checkbox"/> Settlement conference scheduled for (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete settlement conference by (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> Settlement conference completed on (date): |
| (3) Neutral evaluation              | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled<br><input type="checkbox"/> Neutral evaluation scheduled for (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> Neutral evaluation completed on (date):             |
| (4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled<br><input type="checkbox"/> Judicial arbitration scheduled for (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> Judicial arbitration completed on (date):     |
| (5) Binding private arbitration     | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> Private arbitration not yet scheduled<br><input type="checkbox"/> Private arbitration scheduled for (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete private arbitration by (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> Private arbitration completed on (date):         |
| (6) Other (specify):                | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> ADR session not yet scheduled<br><input type="checkbox"/> ADR session scheduled for (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete ADR session by (date):<br><input type="checkbox"/> ADR completed on (date):   |

CM-110

|  |              |
|--|--------------|
| PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: David Glazer   | CASE NUMBER: |
| DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Chaney Shapiro; Cheney Shapiro Designs 401K;<br>Chaney Shapiro Designs; Chaney Adrienn | BC669741     |

**11. Insurance**

- a. ☐ Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing this statement (name):
- b. Reservation of rights: ☐ Yes ☐ No
- c. ☐ Coverage issues will significantly affect resolution of this case (explain):

**12. Jurisdiction**

Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurisdiction or processing of this case and describe the status.

☐ Bankruptcy ☐ Other (specify):

Status:

**13. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination**

- a. ☐ There are companion, underlying, or related cases.
- (1) Name of case:
- (2) Name of court:
- (3) Case number:
- (4) Status:
- ☐ Additional cases are described in Attachment 13a.
- b. ☐ A motion to ☐ consolidate ☐ coordinate will be filed by (name party):

**14. Bifurcation**

- ☐ The party or parties intend to file a motion for an order bifurcating, severing, or coordinating the following issues or causes of action (specify moving party, type of motion, and reasons):

**15. Other motions**

- ☐ The party or parties expect to file the following motions before trial (specify moving party, type of motion, and issues):

**16. Discovery**

- a. ☐ The party or parties have completed all discovery.
- b. ☒ The following discovery will be completed by the date specified (describe all anticipated discovery):

| Party      | Description       | Date     |
|------------|-------------------|----------|
| Defendants | Written Discovery | Per Code |
| Defendants | Deposition        | Per Code |

- c. ☐ The following discovery issues, including issues regarding the discovery of electronically stored information, are anticipated (specify):

|  |                     |
|--|---------------------|
| PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: David Glazer   | CASE NUMBER: CM-110 |
| DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Chaney Shapiro; Chaney Shapiro Designs 401K;<br>Chaney Shapiro Designs; Chaney Adrienn | BC669741            |

## 17. Economic litigation

- a. ☐ This is a limited civil case (i.e., the amount demanded is \$25,000 or less) and the economic litigation procedures in Code of Civil Procedure sections 90-98 will apply to this case.
- b. ☐ This is a limited civil case and a motion to withdraw the case from the economic litigation procedures or for additional discovery will be filed (if checked, explain specifically why economic litigation procedures relating to discovery or trial should not apply to this case):

## 18. Other issues

- ☐ The party or parties request that the following additional matters be considered or determined at the case management conference (specify):

## 19. Meet and confer

- a. ☐ The party or parties have met and conferred with all parties on all subjects required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of Court (if not, explain):
- b. After meeting and conferring as required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of Court, the parties agree on the following (specify):

20. Total number of pages attached (if any): \_\_\_\_\_

I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of discovery and alternative dispute resolution, as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the authority to enter into stipulations on these issues at the time of the case management conference, including the written authority of the party where required.

Date: January 8, 2018

Alisa E. Sandoval

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

☐ Additional signatures are attached.

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA )  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ) ss.

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 234 East Colorado Blvd., 8th Floor, Pasadena, California 91101.

On January 8, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as: **CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT** on all interested parties in said action by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope addressed as stated on the attached service list:

- ☒ **BY MAIL:** I am "readily familiar" with Richardson | Ober PC's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Pasadena, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one (1) day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- ☐ **BY FACSIMILE:** I caused such documents to be transmitted to the telephone number of the addressee listed above, by use of facsimile machine telephone number (626) 449-5572. The facsimile machine used complied with *California Rules of Court*, Rule 2.306(a)(1) and no error was reported by the machine.
- ☐ **BY PERSONAL SERVICE:** I caused a copy of such documents to be delivered by hand to the offices of the addressee between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.
- ☐ **BY OVERNIGHT EXPRESS:** By placing a true copy in a separate envelope for each addressee named on the attached service list, with the name and address of the person served shown on the envelope as indicated on the service list, and by sealing the envelope and placing it for collection and delivery by Overnight Express with delivery fees paid or provided for in accordance with ordinary business practices.
- ☒ **STATE:** I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on January 8, 2018, at Pasadena, California.

  
Debra Condagh

Richardson | Ober PC  
234 E. Colorado Blvd., 8th Floor  
Pasadena, California 91101  
Telephone: 626.449.5577

8702/97/70

**SERVICE LIST****RE:** Glazer v. Cheney Adrienne Shapiro, et. al.**Case No.:** BC 669741

Ronald A. Hartmann, Esq.  
 Kurt E. Kananen, Esq.  
 Hartmann & Kananen  
 5743 Corsa Avenue, Suite 119  
 Westlake Village, California 91362  
 Telephone: (818) 710-0151  
 Facsimile: (818) 710-0191  
 Email: constructiondefects@sbcglobal.net

Attorneys for Plaintiff David Glazer

Jeffery M. Lenkov, Esq.  
 Manning & Kass Ellrod Ramirez, LLP  
 801 South Figueroa Street, 15th Floor  
 Los Angeles, California 90017  
 Telephone: (213) 624-6900  
 Facsimile: (213) 624-6999  
 Email: jml@manningllp.com

Attorneys for Defendants Ken L. Compton;  
Edmund J. Sylvis; Seismic Safety

Andrew Leff  
 Spile, Leff & Goor LLP  
 16501 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 610  
 Encino, California 91436  
 Telephone: (818) 784-6899  
 Facsimile: (818) 784-5899  
 Email: aleff@spilelaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants Podley Associates  
Realtors and Linda Arlington Seyffert

Warren K. Miller, Esq.  
 Carlson Law Group 21031 Ventura Boulevard,  
 Suite 1100  
 Woodland Hills, California 91364  
 Telephone: (818) 996-7800  
 Facsimile: (818) 884-4285  
 Email: wkm@carlsonlawgroup.com

Attorneys for Silverwood Properties Inc. and  
Kenneth Howard Shapiro

Richardson | Ober PC  
 234 E. Colorado Blvd., 8th Floor  
 Pasadena, California 91101  
 Telephone: 626.449.5577

8702197170